

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

194 TED STATES DEPART

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/517,750	06/10/2005	Wolfgang Clemens	411000-122	6074
75	90 08/18/2006		EXAMINER	
Carella Byrne Bain Gilfillan			HO, HOANG QUAN TRAN	
5 Becker Farm 1 Roseland, NJ			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/517,750	CLEMENS, WOLFGANG		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hoang-Quan Ho	2818		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timution and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 December 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7 and 8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☒ Claim(s) 4,6 and 9 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s)  ee of References Cited (PTO-892)  ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 4, 6, and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because multiple dependent claim(s) -should refer to other claims in the alternative only--, and/or, --cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim--. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heeger et al (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2002/0022284).

Regarding claim 5, par. 0102 of Heeger teaches a method of increasing the charge carrier mobility of a conducting or semiconducting layer of organic material, wherein the conducting or semiconducting layer is formed on an undersurface comprising an oriented, stretched (well-ordered) plastics film. Heeger teaches that such OLEDs are fabricated on such substrates and are interconnected to a display by

Art Unit: 2818

transistors on the same substrate.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakita et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,546,889).

Regarding claim 7, Wakita teaches an organic field effect transistor (OFET) having a semiconducting layer of organic material (MEH-PPV; Col. 12, lines 42 – 53) which exhibits a charge carrier mobility of  $\mu > 10^{-3}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs (Col. 12, line 53).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heeger et al (U.S. Pat. Pub. 2002/0022284) in view of Hagler et al (Phys. Rev. B, vol. 44, no. 16).

Regarding claim 1, par. 0102 of Heeger teaches a substrate and/or underlayer (UHMW-PE) of an electronic component (organic light emitting diode), which substrate or underlayer is to be coated with an organic functional layer (MEH-PPV), wherein said substrate or underlayer comprises a partially crystalline and/or axially stretched (well-

ordered) (oriented) plastics film such the orderliness of the plastics film enables the application of the functional material thereto in the form of a well-ordered layer (a light emitting diode emits polarized light, the MEH-PPV layer is also oriented).

The axially stretched limitation is not disclosed by Heeger, which indicates that the same axially stretched as that used in Hagler with respect to the copolymer MEH-PPV-PE (page 8654, col. 1, lines 4-14 and col. 2, lines 1-2 "the draw axis") is used for the UHMW-PE substrate.

Claims 2 – 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heeger et al (U.S. Pat. Pub. 2002/0022284) in view of Hagler et al (Phys. Rev. B, vol. 44, no. 16) and in further view of Bradley (J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., vol. 20).

Regarding claim 2, Heeger and/or Hagler teaches a substrate as defined in claim 1, but fails to teach wherein the plastics film is at least partially crystalline and/or biaxially stretched. Bradley teaches that it is known in the art to provide a monoaxial stretching of a polymeric film (page 1393, col. 1, lines 5 – 38). Also, one having ordinary skill in the art in the area of polymeric films would know that films could also be biaxially stretched. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Heeger and/or Hagler with the plastics film stretched, in order to increase area covered.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,750 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Heeger and/or Hagler teaches a substrate as defined in claim 1 and 2, but fails to teach wherein the plastics film is monoaxially or biaxially stretched. Bradley teaches that it is known in the art to provide a monoaxial stretching of a polymeric film (page 1393, col. 1, lines 5 – 38). Also, one having ordinary skill in the art in the area of polymeric films would know that films could also be biaxially stretched. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Heeger and/or Hagler with the plastics film stretched, in order to increase area covered.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Quan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-8711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8AM - 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,750 Page 6

Art Unit: 2818

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HQH August 1, 2006

> DOUGLAS W. OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dogh k. On 8/7/06